

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/272,467	03/19/1999	HIDEO KOJIMA	WNX3.0-008	5682	
530 7:	590 01/29/2002				
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			EXAMINER		
	VENUE WEST		JONES, S	JONES, SCOTT E	
WESTFIELD,	NJ 07090		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3713		
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002	DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	tan			
Advisory Action	09/272,467	KOJIMA, HIDEO	O,			
nance, y neach	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott E. Jones	3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2001 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply	to a tion in			
_	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF fextension and the corresponding amount he shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing the status of the same of the same of the mailing the later than three months after the mailing the same of the s	g date of the final rejection FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriately set in the final (a)	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fil	nally rejected claims	5 .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) luld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and or appended.	nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>05 April 20</u>			Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As previously stated in the record, Goden et al. (U.S. 5,830,066) reads on the limitations in independent claims 1, 9, 21, and 32.

Scott E. Jones

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700